



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/810,338	03/26/20	04	Ahmad Absar	03108/0201075-US0	8253
7278	7590 11	2/19/2005		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.				WARE, DEBORAH K	
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TOTAL	., 111 10100 0			1651	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

à	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/810,338	ABSAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Deborah K. Ware	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ☒ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	· <b>=</b>	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/810,338

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12 are presented for examination on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klabunde et al (US 6843919), cited on enclosed PTO-892 Form.

Claims are drawn to a process for controlled oxide nanoparticles which comprises incubating a fungus with a solution of metal salt to obtain a biomass, removing the biomass and filtering the oxide nanoparticles.

Klabunde et al teach a process for controlled oxide nanopartices which comprises contacting under conditions of temperature at 15 to 50 degrees C, see

Application/Control Number: 10/810,338

المحر

Art Unit: 1651

column 5, line 36. Fungi are disclosed at column 4, line 20. They are formed from a metal salt solution, note example II, column 6.

The claims differ from Klabunde in that it is direct biological process whereas the disclosed process discloses that fungi can be selected for in their process.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to select for a fungi as the target substance in order to carry out a biological process for controlled oxide nanoparticles. The steps of the claimed process are disclosed by the cited prior art. One of skill in the art would have expected successful results with the conditions selected by Applicants because they are disclosed by the cited prior art.

It would have been well within the skill of an ordinary artisan to select for varied ambient temperatures and amounts and further to select from heavy metal salts like chlorides to incubate with the fungi because the reference clearly teach that the fungi are capable of removing these metals to provide for the oxide nanoparticles. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the teachings of Klabunde in order to carry out a biological process for producing the nanoparticles. In the absence of persuasive evidence to the contrary the claims are rendered prima facie obvious over the cited prior art.

All claims fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art discussed above and cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,338 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMINER

Deborah K. Ware December 10, 2005